The objective of the workshop is to provide a selection and presentation of national courts’ judgements dealing with foreign administrative acts or decisions.

Each of the National Rapporteurs should provide answers to the following questions:

\[ i \) How did the respective national courts treat foreign administrative acts or decisions (as a matter of law or of fact)?

\[ ii \) Did the national court accept jurisdiction to review and control the legality of the act or decision?

\[ iii \) If so, what were the consequences (setting the act aside, declaring it null and void, annulling it *erga omnes*, etc.)?

\[ iv \) If the court accepted to review the foreign act or decision, what were the parameters used (EU law, international law, national procedural or substantive law)?

\[ v \) What was the reasoning of the court for denying to review the foreign act /decision or for carrying out the review (e.g. need to comply with EU law, need to ensure effective judicial protection, need to comply with constitutional human rights, etc.)?